

This goose, designed by J.N. "Ding" Darling, has become the symbol of the National Wildlife Refuge System.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is the principal Federal agency responsible for conserving, protecting, and enhancing fish, wildlife, plants, and their habitats for the continuing benefit of the American people. The Service manages the 97-million acre National Wildlife Refuge System comprised of more than 548 national wildlife refuges and thousands of waterfowl production areas. It also operates 69 national fish hatcheries and 81 ecological services field stations. The agency enforces Federal wildlife laws, manages migratory bird populations, restores nationally significant fisheries, conserves and restores wildlife habitat such as wetlands, administers the Endangered Species Act, and helps foreign governments with their conservation efforts. It also oversees the Federal Assistance Program which distributes hundreds of millions of dollars in excise taxes on fishing and hunting equipment to state wildlife agencies.

Comprehensive Conservation Plans provide long term guidance for management decisions and set forth goals, objectives, and strategies needed to accomplish refuge purposes and identify the Service's best estimate of future needs. These plans detail program planning levels that are sometimes substantially above current budget allocations and, as such, are primarily for Service strategic planning and program prioritization purposes. The plans do not constitute a commitment for staffing increases, operational and maintenance increases, or funding for future land acquisition.



## U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

# Nomans Land Island National Wildlife Refuge Environmental Assessment and Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan

May 2010

### Refuge Vision Statement

We envision Nomans Land Island NWR to be a vital and unique maritime resource for migratory birds along the Atlantic Flyway. Our management will perpetuate the diversity of nesting, resting, and foraging habitats used by passerines, raptors, waterfowl and seabirds throughout the island. In particular, species of regional conservation concern including the peregrine falcon will benefit from land which is free from mammalian predators and from present-day human disturbances.

Nomans Land Island has a culturally rich human history that began thousands of years ago and our management will ensure that this legacy endures. Culturally sensitive management actions on the island, and strong partnerships with the Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) and other partners, will foster cultural awareness and an appreciative and knowledgeable public.

With its recent history of human use, Nomans Land Island NWR will be a place few people can experience firsthand; yet we will provide meaningful alternatives for members of the public to experience the beauty and singularity of the Refuge. Through partnerships, education, interpretation and outreach, we hope to instill a sense of wonder about complex and dynamic coastal ecosystems, and underscore the value of the Refuge in conserving those resources.

# Nomans Land Island National Wildlife Refuge Environmental Assessment and Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan

### May 2010

Type of Action: Administrative – Development of a Comprehensive

Conservation Plan

Lead Agency: U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife

Service

Location: Nomans Land Island National Wildlife Refuge

Chilmark, MA

Administrative Headquarters: Eastern Massachusetts NWR Complex

Sudbury, MA

Responsible Official: Marvin Moriarty, Regional Director, Region 5,

Northeast

For Further Information: Carl Melberg, Natural Resource Planner

Eastern Massachusetts NWR Complex

73 Weir Hill Rd. Sudbury, MA 01776 (978) 443-4661 ext. 32 northeastplanning@fws.gov

This Environmental Assessment (EA) and Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan (CCP) analyzes three alternatives for managing the 628 acre Nomans Land Island National Wildlife Refuge over the next 15 years. This document also contains nine appendices that provide additional information supporting our analysis. Following is a brief overview of each alternative:

Alternative A: This alternative is referred to as our "No Action" or "Current Management" alternative, as required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). This alternative would maintain the status quo in managing this 628 acre refuge for the next 15 years. No major changes would be made to current management practices.

Alternative B: This alternative goes beyond the proposed actions in Alternative A, and features more active monitoring and habitat management to promote species of conservation concern. This would be facilitated through more frequent visits to the Refuge. An increase in off-site programming, interpretation and outreach would enhance our visitor services program. Strengthening partnerships and proposing new staff would build capacity for these endeavors.

Alternative C: This is the Service-preferred alternative. It represents the planning team's recommended strategies and actions for achieving Refuge purposes, vision and goals and responding to public issues. Here, the biological program would focus on more targeted management to benefit prioritized species of conservation concern. Off-site visitor services would be somewhat increased from current levels. In addition, this alternative includes a proposal for wilderness designation for the Refuge. As in Alternative B, strengthening partnerships is a Refuge priority.

# Table of Contents Chapter 1 The Purpose of and Need for Action

Chapter 1 1	ne Purpose of and Need for Action	Page
	Introduction	1-1
	The Purpose of and Need for the Proposed Action	1-6
	The Service and the Refuge System: Policies and Mandates Guiding	
	Planning	1-7
	Conservation Plans and Initiatives Guiding the Project	1-15
	Refuge Establishing Purposes, Land Acquisition History, and Boundary	
	Refuge Administration	
	Refuge Vision Statement	
	Refuge Goals	
	The Comprehensive Conservation Planning Process	1-25
	Issues, Concerns, and Opportunities	
	Issues and Concerns Outside the Scope of this Analysis or Not Completely	
	Within the Jurisdiction of the Service	1-30
Chapter 2 A	Alternatives Considered, Including the Service-preferred A	
	Formulating Alternatives	2-1
	Actions Common to All of the Alternatives	
	Alternatives or Actions Considered but Eliminated from Further Study	2-15
	Alternative A: Current Management	2-16
	Alternative B: Enhanced Wildlife Management and Visitor Services	2-27
	Alternative C: Natural Processes Emphasis, Focal Species Management,	
	and Wilderness Designation (Service-Preferred Alternative)	2-51
Chapter 3 A	Introduction	3-13-153-233-243-283-383-39
Chapter 4 E	Introduction	4-2 4-4 4-6 4-7

Chapter 4 En	vironmental Consequences (continued)	Page
'	Effects on Marine Intertidal Beach/Rocky Shore	
	Effects on Scrub-Shrub and Emergent Wetlands, Bogs, and Open Water Habitat.	
	Effects on Public Access, Education and Community Outreach	
	Effects on Cultural and Archaeological Resources	
	Wilderness Recommendations and Designation	
	Effects on Socio-Economic Resources	
	Cumulative Impacts	
	Relationship between Short-term Uses of the Human Environment and	
	Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	4-27
	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	
	Potential Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources	4-27
	Environmental Justice	4-28
Chapter 5 Co	onsultation and Coordination with Others	
	Introduction	5-1
	Planning to Protect Land and Resources	
	Partners Involved in Refuge Planning	
	Contact Information	
	Planning Team	
	Other Service Program Involvement	
Glossary & A	Acronyms	
0103341 y & 7	Acronyms	Glos-1
	Glossary	
Dibilia amanda		DII II 4
Bibliography	<i>y</i>	Biblio-1
Appendices		
	Appendix A: Species and Habitats of Conservation Concern Known or	
	Suspected on the Refuge	
	Appendix B: Species Known or Suspected on the Refuge	
	Appendix C: Wilderness Review	
	Appendix D: Refuge Operations Needs (RONS) and Service Asset	
	Maintenance Management Systems (SAMMS)	
	Appendix E: Staffing Charts	
	Appendix F: Fire Management Program Guidance	
	Appendix G: Transfer Agreement	G-1
	Appendix H: Summary of U.S. Navy Environmental Programs on	
	Nomans Land Island	
	Appendix I: SLAMM (Sea Level Affecting Marshes Model) Analysis	I-1
List of Figure	2S	
2. 2 9.31 (	Figure 1.1. The NEPA Planning Process	1-25

# List of Tables

	Table 1.1. History of land acquisition for Nomans Land Island Refuge	
	Table 2.1. Matrix of the Alternatives	. 2-67
	Table 3.1. From Application of the Sea Level Affecting Marshes Model	
	(SLAMM 5.0) to Nomans Land Island NWR report (Clough and Larson 2009).	
	Indicates the losses in Refuge lands characterized as Dry Land, Swamp or	0 17
	Ocean Beach under the four different sea level rise scenarios by 2100	. 3-1/
	Table 3.2. Modified from Application of the Sea Level Affecting Marshes	0)
	Model (SLAMM 5.0) to Nomans Land Island NWR report (Clough and Larson 200	<del>7</del> ).
	Indicates initial acreage of Refuge lands by habitat classification, and the	
	projected change in acreage in each category by 2100 according to the	2 10
	four sea level rise scenarios.	
	Table 3.3. Annual Refuge Revenue Payments for Nomans Land Island NWR	. 3-23
	Table 3.4. Fiscal year funding for the Eastern Massachusetts NWR	0.04
	Complex for 2007 to 2010 by type	
	Table 3.5. Sample of special use permits for Nomans Island NWR since 2004	
	Table 3.6. State-Listed Plants on Nomans Land Island	
	Table 3.7. Invasive species documented on Nomans Land Island NWR	
	Table 4.1. Matrix of Environmental Consequences by Alternative	
	Table A.1. Bird Species of Conservation Concern Known or Suspected on the Refuge.	
	Table A.2. Fish Species of Conservation Concern Known or Suspected on the Refuge.	A-/
	Table A.3. Amphibian and Reptile Species of Conservation Concern Known or	۸ ۵
	Suspected on the Refuge	A-ŏ
	Table A.4. Invertebrate Species of Conservation Concern Known or	۸ ۸
	Suspected on the Refuge	A-9
	Table A.5. Plant Species of Conservation Concern Known or Suspected on the Refuge	۸ 10
	Table A.6. Plant Communities of Conservation Concern Known or	.A-10
	Suspected on the Refuge	Δ_11
	Table B.1. Plants Identified at the Refuge	
	Table B.2. Birds Identified at the Refuge	
	Table B.3. Mammals Identified at the Refuge	
	Table B.4. Fish Identified at or in the surroundings waters of the Refuge	
	Table B.5. Amphibians and Reptiles Identified at the Refuge	
	Table B.6. Invertebrates Identified at the Refuge	
	Table C.1. Wilderness Inventory Area Findings Summary for Nomans	. D 20
	Land Island Unit	C-7
	Table D.1. Proposed projects currently in RONS database (FY08)	
	Table D.2. New projects proposed for RONS database for Nomans Land	
	Island Under Alternative C	D-1
	Table D.3. New projects proposed for SAMMS database for Nomans Land	
	Island NWR under Alternative C.	D-2
List of Mans		
List of Maps		1 1
	Map 1-1. Nomans Land Island NWR Refuge Boundary	
	Map 1-2. 1938 Aerial Photo of Nomans Land Island NWR	I-4
	Map 2-1. Proposed Interpretive Trail-Alternative B	
	IVIAP Z- I. I IUPUSCU IIILCIPICLIVE TIAII-MILCINALIVE D	. ∠-ა∪

List of Maps (continued)	Page
Map 3-1. Conservation Regions	3-3
Map 3-2. USFWS Land Conservation Cooperatives	3-5
Map 3-3. Conserved Lands in the vicinity of Nomans Land Island NWR	3-6
Map 3.4. Nomans Land Island NWR Habitat Classes	3-29
Map C-1. Wilderness Study Area	